

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,310		02/15/2002	Kyool Seop Lee	13921-002001	3387
26161	7590	02/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	RICHARD	SON PC	JUSKA, CHERYL ANN		
	NKLIN ST , MA 021	10		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1771	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/077,310	LEE ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cheryl Juska	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	••					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
		Cheryl Juska					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: While said amendment would be sufficient to withdraw the standing 112,1st and 2nd rejections and the prior art rejection of the Final Rejection Office Action, said amendment would necessitate a reinstatement of the prior art rejections set forth in the Non-final Office Action (01/23/04). Specifically, said prior art rejections were only withdrawn due to the limitation of "linear density o 200-240 g/m" being given patentable weight. (Note section 2 of the Final Rejection.) Since said limitation is deleted in the proposed amendment, the prior art rejection would need to be reinstated.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is based upon a non-entered amendment. Additionally, with respect to applicant's argument of unexpected results (Amendment After Final, page 8, 2nd paragraph), said argument are found unpersuasive since they rely in part on examples having a non-enabled linear density of 200-240 g/m.